For the Northern District of California

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6		
7	ALFRED LAM, et al.,	
8	Plaintiff(s),	No. C 08-4702 PJH
9	V.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DISMISS
10	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, et al.,	TO DISIMISS
11		
12	Defendant(s).	_/

Defendants' motion to dismiss certain claims of plaintiffs' third amended complaint ("TAC") was before the court for hearing on April 21, 2010. Evgenii Sverdlov appeared for plaintiffs and Lauren Monson appeared for defendants. The court having reviewed the parties' papers and heard their arguments, hereby GRANTS the motion for the reasons stated on the record. Accordingly, the second, third and fourth causes of action of the TAC asserting § 1981 violations are DISMISSED. Because plaintiffs have already had three opportunities to amend their complaint and because they argue no additional facts that would make these claims viable under the court's interpretation of the relevant precedent, the dismissal is with prejudice.

A case management conference will be held to establish a pretrial schedule on May 27, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. along with the severed but related case number C 10-0752 PJH.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 21, 2010

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge